

13. Гомотопійна границя.  
Навколо похідних категорій

Володимир Любашенко

13 травня 2021

# Виправлення

## Proposition

Let  $D$  and  $D'$  be homotopy equivalent objects of a dg-category  $\mathcal{D}$ . Let

$$\begin{array}{l} D \xrightarrow[0]{f} D' \qquad g \circ f = 1_D - d\alpha \\ \alpha \circlearrowleft_{-1} D \xleftarrow[0]{g} D' \circlearrowleft_{-1} \beta \qquad f \circ g = 1_{D'} - d\beta \end{array}$$

be relevant data.

Then there are  $\alpha'$  and  $\delta$

$$\begin{array}{l} D \xrightarrow[0]{f} D' \qquad g \circ f = 1_D - d\alpha' \\ \alpha' \circlearrowleft_{-1} D \xleftarrow[0]{g} D' \circlearrowleft_{-1} \beta \qquad f \circ g = 1_{D'} - d\beta \\ D \xrightarrow[-2]{\delta} D' \qquad f \circ \alpha' - \beta \circ f = d\delta. \end{array}$$

Доведення.

We have  $f \circ \alpha - \beta \circ f \in Z^{-1}\mathcal{D}(D, D')$  since

$$d(f \circ \alpha - \beta \circ f) = f \circ (1 - g \circ f) + (f \circ g - 1) \circ f = 0.$$

$$\Rightarrow z := g \circ (f \circ \alpha - \beta \circ f) \in Z^{-1}\mathcal{D}(D, D),$$

$$\alpha' := \alpha - z \Rightarrow g \circ f = 1_D - d\alpha'$$

$$\begin{aligned} f \circ \alpha' - \beta \circ f &= f \circ \alpha - \beta \circ f - f \circ g \circ (f \circ \alpha - \beta \circ f) \\ &= f \circ \alpha - \beta \circ f - (1 - d\beta) \circ (f \circ \alpha - \beta \circ f) = (d\beta) \circ (f \circ \alpha - \beta \circ f) \\ &= d[\beta \circ (f \circ \alpha - \beta \circ f)] =: d\delta. \end{aligned}$$

□

## Зворотні системи

Let  $C$  be a category. If the ordered set is  $N = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$  with the usual ordering, an inverse system (with values in the category  $C$ ) over  $N$  is often simply called an inverse system.

It consists quite simply of a pair  $(M_i, f_{ii'})$  where each  $M_i$ ,  $i \in N$  is an object of  $C$ , and for each  $i > i'$ ,  $i, i' \in N$  a morphism  $f_{ii'} : M_i \rightarrow M_{i'}$  such that moreover  $f_{i'i''} \circ f_{ii'} = f_{ii''}$  whenever this makes sense.

It is clear that in fact it suffices to give the morphisms  $M_2 \rightarrow M_1$ ,  $M_3 \rightarrow M_2$ , and so on. Hence an inverse system is frequently pictured as follows  $M_1 \xleftarrow{\phi_2} M_2 \xleftarrow{\phi_3} M_3 \xleftarrow{\phi_4} \dots$ . Moreover, we often omit the transition maps  $\phi_i$  from the notation and we simply say “let  $(M_i)$  be an inverse system”. The collection of all inverse systems with values in  $C$  forms a category with the obvious notion of morphism.

If  $\mathcal{C}$  is an additive category, then the category of inverse systems with values in  $\mathcal{C}$  is an additive category.

If  $\mathcal{C}$  is an abelian category, then the category of inverse systems with values in  $\mathcal{C}$  is an abelian category.

A sequence  $(K_i) \rightarrow (L_i) \rightarrow (M_i)$  of inverse systems is exact if and only if each  $K_i \rightarrow L_i \rightarrow M_i$  is exact.

The limit of such an inverse system is denoted  $\lim M_i$ , or  $\lim_i M_i$ . If  $\mathcal{C}$  is the category of abelian groups (or sets), then the limit always exists and in fact can be described as follows

$$\lim_i M_i = \{(x_i) \in \prod_i M_i \mid \phi_i(x_i) = x_{i-1}, i = 2, 3, \dots\}.$$

However, given a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow (A_i) \rightarrow (B_i) \rightarrow (C_i) \rightarrow 0$$

of inverse systems of abelian groups it is not always the case that the associated system of limits is exact. In order to discuss this further we introduce the following notion.

## Definition (Умова Міттага–Лефлера)

Let  $C$  be an abelian category. We say the inverse system  $(A_i)$  satisfies the Mittag–Leffler condition, or for short is ML, if for every  $i$  there exists a  $c=c(i) \geq i$  such that for all  $k \geq c$

$$\text{Im}(A_k \rightarrow A_i) = \text{Im}(A_c \rightarrow A_i).$$

It turns out that the Mittag–Leffler condition is good enough to ensure that the  $\lim$ -functor is exact, provided one works within the abelian category of abelian groups, or abelian sheaves, etc.

## Example

If  $(A_i, \phi_{ji})$  is a directed inverse system of sets or of modules and the maps  $\phi_{ji}$  are surjective, then clearly the system is Mittag–Leffler. Conversely, suppose  $(A_i, \phi_{ji})$  is Mittag–Leffler. Let  $A'_i \subset A_i$  be the stable image of  $\phi_{ji}(A_j)$  for  $j \geq i$ . Then  $\phi_{ji}|_{A'_j} : A'_j \rightarrow A'_i$  is surjective for  $j \geq i$  and  $\lim A_i = \lim A'_i$ . Hence the limit of the Mittag–Leffler system  $(A_i, \phi_{ji})$  can also be written as the limit of a directed inverse system over  $I$  with surjective maps.

# Непорожність границі системи Мітага–Лефлера

## Lemma

Let  $(A_i, \phi_{ji})$  be a directed inverse system over  $I$ . Suppose  $I$  is countable. If  $(A_i, \phi_{ji})$  is Mittag-Leffler and the  $A_i$  are nonempty, then  $\lim A_i$  is nonempty.

## Доведення.

Let  $i_1, i_2, i_3, \dots$  be an enumeration of the elements of  $I$ . Define inductively a sequence of elements  $j_n \in I$  for  $n=1,2,3,\dots$  by the conditions:  $j_1 = i_1$ , and  $j_n \geq i_n$  and  $j_n > j_m$  for  $m < n$ . Then the sequence  $j_n$  is increasing and forms a cofinal subset of  $I$ . Hence we may assume  $I = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ .

So by previous Example we are reduced to showing that the limit of an inverse system of non-empty sets with surjective maps indexed by the positive integers is non-empty. This is obvious. □

## Система Мітага–Лефлера і коротка точна послідовність границь

### Lemma

Let  $0 \rightarrow A_i \xrightarrow{f_i} B_i \xrightarrow{g_i} C_i \rightarrow 0$  be an exact sequence of directed inverse systems of abelian groups over  $I$ . Suppose  $I$  is countable. If  $(A_i)$  is Mittag–Leffler, then  $0 \rightarrow \lim A_i \rightarrow \lim B_i \rightarrow \lim C_i \rightarrow 0$  is exact.

**Доведення.** Taking limits of directed inverse systems is left exact, hence we only need to prove surjectivity of  $\lim B_i \rightarrow \lim C_i$ . So let  $(c_i) \in \lim C_i$ . For each  $i \in I$ , let  $E_i = g_i^{-1}(c_i)$ , which is nonempty since  $g_i : B_i \rightarrow C_i$  is surjective. The system of maps  $\phi_{ji} : B_j \rightarrow B_i$  for  $(B_i)$  restrict to maps  $E_j \rightarrow E_i$  which make  $(E_i)$  into an inverse system of nonempty sets.

It is enough to show that  $(E_i)$  is Mittag-Leffler. For then previous Lemma would show  $\lim E_i$  is nonempty, and taking any element of  $\lim E_i$  would give an element of  $\lim B_i$  mapping to  $(c_i)$ .



By the injection  $f_i : A_i \rightarrow B_i$  we will regard  $A_i$  as a subset of  $B_i$ . Since  $(A_i)$  is Mittag-Leffler, if  $i \in I$  then there exists  $j \geq i$  such that  $\phi_{ki}(A_k) = \phi_{ji}(A_j)$  for  $k \geq j$ . We claim that also  $\phi_{ki}(E_k) = \phi_{ji}(E_j)$  for  $k \geq j$ . Always  $\phi_{ki}(E_k) \subset \phi_{ji}(E_j)$  for  $k \geq j$ .

For the reverse inclusion let  $e_j \in E_j$ , and we need to find  $x_k \in E_k$  such that  $\phi_{ki}(x_k) = \phi_{ji}(e_j)$ .

Let  $e'_k \in E_k$  be any element, and set  $e'_j = \phi_{kj}(e'_k)$ . Then  $g_j(e_j - e'_j) = c_j - c_j = 0$ , hence  $e_j - e'_j = a_j \in A_j$ .

Since  $\phi_{ki}(A_k) = \phi_{ji}(A_j)$ , there exists  $a_k \in A_k$  such that  $\phi_{ki}(a_k) = \phi_{ji}(a_j)$ . Hence

$$\phi_{ki}(e'_k + a_k) = \phi_{ji}(e'_j) + \phi_{ji}(a_j) = \phi_{ji}(e_j),$$

so we can take  $x_k = e'_k + a_k$ . □

## Lemma

Let  $0 \rightarrow (A_i) \rightarrow (B_i) \rightarrow (C_i) \rightarrow 0$  be a short exact sequence of inverse systems of abelian groups. Then

In any case the sequence  $0 \rightarrow \lim_i A_i \rightarrow \lim_i B_i \rightarrow \lim_i C_i$  is exact.

If  $(B_i)$  is ML, then also  $(C_i)$  is ML.

If  $(A_i)$  is ML, then  $0 \rightarrow \lim_i A_i \rightarrow \lim_i B_i \rightarrow \lim_i C_i \rightarrow 0$  is exact.

Proof. (1)  $\lim : \text{Ab}^{\text{Iop}} \rightarrow \text{Ab}$  is right adjoint to  $\text{const} : \text{Ab} \rightarrow \text{Ab}^{\text{Iop}}, X \mapsto (X)_i$ .

(2) follows from surjectivity of all  $g_i : B_i \rightarrow C_i: \forall i \exists j \geq i \forall k \geq j$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B_k & \xrightarrow{g_k} & C_k \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B_j & \xrightarrow{g_j} & C_j \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B_i & \xrightarrow{g_i} & C_i \end{array}$$

$$\text{Im}(C_k \rightarrow C_i) = g_i(\text{Im}(B_k \rightarrow B_i)) = g_i(\text{Im}(B_j \rightarrow B_i)) = \text{Im}(C_j \rightarrow C_i).$$

□

## Lemma

Let

$$(A_i) \rightarrow (B_i) \rightarrow (C_i) \rightarrow (D_i)$$

be an exact sequence of inverse systems of abelian groups. If the system  $(A_i)$  is ML, then the sequence

$$\varprojlim_i B_i \rightarrow \varprojlim_i C_i \rightarrow \varprojlim_i D_i$$

is exact.

### Доведення.

Let  $Z_i = \text{Ker}(C_i \rightarrow D_i)$  and  $I_i = \text{Im}(A_i \rightarrow B_i)$ . Then  $\varprojlim Z_i = \text{Ker}(\varprojlim C_i \rightarrow \varprojlim D_i)$  and we get a short exact sequence of systems

$$0 \rightarrow (I_i) \rightarrow (B_i) \rightarrow (Z_i) \rightarrow 0$$

Moreover, by previous Lemma we see that  $(I_i)$  has (ML), thus another application of previous Lemma shows that  $\varprojlim B_i \rightarrow \varprojlim Z_i$  is surjective which proves the lemma. □

## Правий похідний функтор

In this section  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{C}'$  will denote two abelian categories, and  $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}'$  an additive functor.

We shall denote by  $Q$  the natural functor  $\mathbf{K}^+(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}^+(\mathcal{C})$  or  $\mathbf{K}^+(\mathcal{C}') \rightarrow \mathbf{D}^+(\mathcal{C}')$ .

**Definition 1.8.1.** Let  $T : \mathbf{D}^+(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}^+(\mathcal{C}')$  be a functor of triangulated categories, and let  $s$  be a morphism of functors:

$$s : Q \circ \mathbf{K}^+(F) \rightarrow T \circ Q ,$$

where  $\mathbf{K}^+(F) : \mathbf{K}^+(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbf{K}^+(\mathcal{C}')$  is the functor naturally associated to  $F$ . Assume that for any functor of triangulated categories  $G : \mathbf{D}^+(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}^+(\mathcal{C}')$ , the morphism:

$$\mathrm{Hom}(T, G) \xrightarrow{s} \mathrm{Hom}(Q \circ \mathbf{K}^+(F), G \circ Q)$$

is an isomorphism.

Then  $(T, s)$ , which is unique up to isomorphism, is called the right derived functor of  $F$ , and denoted  $RF$ . The functor  $H^n \circ RF$ , also denoted  $R^n F$ , is called the  $n$ -th derived functor of  $F$ .

Let us give a useful criterium which ensures the existence of  $RF$ . From now on and until Proposition 1.8.7, we assume  $F$  is left exact.

## F-ін'єктивна підкатегорія

**Definition 1.8.2.** A full additive subcategory  $\mathcal{F}$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  is called *injective with respect to  $F$*  (or  *$F$ -injective*, for short), if :

- (1.7.5) for any  $X \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$ , there exists  $X' \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{F})$  and an exact sequence
- $$0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow X'.$$
- (ii) if  $0 \rightarrow X' \rightarrow X \rightarrow X'' \rightarrow 0$  is an exact sequence in  $\mathcal{C}$ , and if  $X'$  and  $X$  are in  $\text{Ob}(\mathcal{F})$ , then  $X''$  is also in  $\text{Ob}(\mathcal{F})$ ,
- (iii) if  $0 \rightarrow X' \rightarrow X \rightarrow X'' \rightarrow 0$  is an exact sequence in  $\mathcal{C}$ , and if  $X', X, X''$ , are in  $\text{Ob}(\mathcal{F})$ , then the sequence  $0 \rightarrow F(X') \rightarrow F(X) \rightarrow F(X'') \rightarrow 0$  is exact.

Note that under conditions (i) and (ii), the condition (iii) is equivalent to the similar condition in which one only assumes  $X' \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{F})$ , because of the assumption that  $F$  is left exact.

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be  $F$ -injective. Then one can check easily that  $F$  transforms objects of  $\mathbf{K}^+(\mathcal{F})$  quasi-isomorphic to zero into objects of  $\mathbf{K}^+(\mathcal{C})$  satisfying the same property

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & I_0 & \longrightarrow & I_1 & \longrightarrow & I_2 & \longrightarrow & I_3 & \longrightarrow & I_4 & \longrightarrow & I_5 \\
 & & \parallel & \nearrow & \searrow & \nearrow & \searrow & \nearrow & \searrow & \nearrow & \searrow & \nearrow & \\
 & & I_0 & & K_1 & & K_2 & & K_3 & & K_4 & & \in \mathcal{I}
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & FI_0 & \longrightarrow & FI_1 & \longrightarrow & FI_2 & \longrightarrow & FI_3 & \longrightarrow & FI_4 & \longrightarrow & FI_5 \\
 & & \parallel & \nearrow & \searrow & \nearrow & \searrow & \nearrow & \searrow & \nearrow & \searrow & \nearrow & \\
 & & FI_0 & & FK_1 & & FK_2 & & FK_3 & & FK_4 & & 
 \end{array}$$

# Існування правого похідного функтора

property. Therefore the composition of functors

$$\mathbf{K}^+(\mathcal{I}) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{K}^+(F)} \mathbf{K}^+(\mathcal{C}') \longrightarrow \mathbf{D}^+(\mathcal{C}')$$

factors through  $\mathbf{K}^+(\mathcal{I})/\mathcal{N} \cap \text{Ob}(\mathbf{K}^+(\mathcal{I}))$  where  $\mathcal{N}$  is given by

acyclic complexes. Since

$\mathbf{K}^+(\mathcal{I})/\mathcal{N} \cap \text{Ob}(\mathbf{K}^+(\mathcal{I}))$  is equivalent to  $\mathbf{D}^+(\mathcal{C})$  by Proposition 1.7.7, we obtain:

**Proposition 1.8.3.** *Assume there exists an  $F$ -injective subcategory  $\mathcal{I}$  of  $\mathcal{C}$ . Then the functor from  $\mathbf{K}^+(\mathcal{I})/\mathcal{N} \cap \text{Ob}(\mathbf{K}^+(\mathcal{I}))$  to  $\mathbf{D}^+(\mathcal{C}')$  constructed above is the right derived functor of  $F$ .*

**Remark 1.8.4.** It follows from the universal property of  $RF$  that the preceding construction does not depend on  $\mathcal{I}$ .

**Remark 1.8.5.** Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be the full subcategory of injective objects of  $\mathcal{C}$  and assume  $\mathcal{C}$  has enough injectives, (i.e: (1.7.5) is satisfied). Then  $\mathcal{I}$  is  $F$ -injective with respect to any left exact functor  $F$ , since any sequence in  $\mathcal{I}$  splits, (cf. Exercise I.5). In particular  $RF$  always exists in this case.

## Гомотопійна границя

In a triangulated category there is a notion of derived limit.

### Definition

Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a triangulated category. Let  $(K_n, f_n : K_n \rightarrow K_{n-1})$  be an inverse system of objects of  $\mathcal{D}$ . We say an object  $K$  is a derived limit, or a homotopy limit of the system  $(K_n)$  if the product  $\prod K_n$  exists and there is a distinguished triangle

$$K \rightarrow \prod K_n \rightarrow \prod K_n \rightarrow K[1]$$

where the map  $\prod K_n \rightarrow \prod K_n$  is given by  $(k_n) \mapsto (k_n - f_{n+1}(k_{n+1}))$ . If this is the case, then we sometimes indicate this by the notation  $K = R\lim K_n$ .

By TR3 a derived limit, if it exists, is unique up to (non-unique) isomorphism. Moreover, by TR1 a derived limit  $R\lim K_n$  exists as soon as  $\prod K_n$  exists. The derived category  $D(\text{Ab})$  of the category of abelian groups is an example of a triangulated category where all derived limits exist.

## Lemma

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an abelian category with countable products and enough injectives. Let  $(K_n)$  be an inverse system of  $D^+(\mathcal{A})$ . Then  $R\lim K_n$  exists.

## До́ведення.

It suffices to show that  $\prod K_n$  exists in  $D(\mathcal{A})$ . For every  $n$  we can represent  $K_n$  by a bounded below complex  $I_n^\bullet$  of injectives. Then  $\prod K_n$  is represented by  $\prod I_n^\bullet$ .  $\square$



## Lemma

The functor  $\lim : \text{Ab}^{\mathbb{N}^{\text{op}}} \rightarrow \text{Ab}$  has a right derived functor

$$\text{R} \lim : D(\text{Ab}^{\mathbb{N}^{\text{op}}}) \longrightarrow D(\text{Ab})$$

As usual we set  $\text{R}^p \lim(K) = \text{H}^p(\text{R} \lim(K))$ . Moreover, we have

1. for any  $(A_n)$  in  $\text{Ab}^{\mathbb{N}^{\text{op}}}$  we have  $\text{R}^p \lim A_n = 0$  for  $p > 1$ ,
2. the object  $\text{R} \lim A_n$  of  $D(\text{Ab})$  is represented by the complex

$$\prod A_n \rightarrow \prod A_n, (x_n) \mapsto (x_n - f_{n+1}(x_{n+1}))$$

sitting in degrees 0 and 1,

3. if  $(A_n)$  is Mittag-Leffler, then  $\text{R}^1 \lim A_n = 0$ , i.e.,  $(A_n)$  is right acyclic for  $\lim$ ,
4. every  $K^\bullet \in D(\text{Ab}^{\mathbb{N}^{\text{op}}})$  is quasi-isomorphic to a complex whose terms are right acyclic for  $\lim$ , and
5. if each  $K^p = (K_n^p)$  is right acyclic for  $\lim$ , i.e., of  $\text{R}^1 \lim_n K_n^p = 0$ , then  $\text{R} \lim K$  is represented by the complex whose term in degree  $p$  is  $\lim_n K_n^p$ .

Proof. Let  $(A_n)$  be an arbitrary inverse system. Let  $(B_n)$  be the inverse system with

$$B_n = A_n \oplus A_{n-1} \oplus \dots \oplus A_1$$

and transition maps given by projections. Let  $A_n \rightarrow B_n$  be given by  $(1, f_n, f_{n-1} \circ f_n, \dots, f_2 \circ \dots \circ f_n)$  where  $f_i : A_i \rightarrow A_{i-1}$  are the transition maps. In this way we see that every inverse system is a subobject of a ML system. It follows that every ML system is right acyclic for  $\lim$ , i.e., (3) holds. This already implies that RF is defined on  $D^+(\text{Ab}^{\text{Nop}})$ . Set  $C_n = A_{n-1} \oplus \dots \oplus A_1$  for  $n > 1$  and  $C_1 = 0$  with transition maps given by projections as well.

Then there is a short exact sequence of inverse systems

$0 \rightarrow (A_n) \rightarrow (B_n) \rightarrow (C_n) \rightarrow 0$  where  $B_n \rightarrow C_n$  is given by  $(x_i) \mapsto (x_i - f_{i+1}(x_{i+1}))$ . Since  $(C_n)$  is ML as well, we conclude that (2) holds which also implies (1).

Finally, this implies that  $\text{R}\lim$  is in fact defined on all of  $D(\text{Ab}^{\text{Nop}})$ . In fact, one proceeds by proving assertions (4) and (5).  $\square$

Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a triangulated category. Suppose  $X_i$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , is a sequence of objects in  $\mathcal{S}$ , together with maps  $f_i : X_{i+1} \rightarrow X_i$ . Then  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$  there is a split exact sequence in  $\mathcal{S}$

$$0 \rightarrow X_{n+1} \xrightarrow{q} \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} X_i \xrightarrow{\text{pr-shift}} \prod_{i=1}^n X_i \rightarrow 0,$$

$$\text{shift} = \left( \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} X_i \xrightarrow{\text{pr}} \prod_{i=2}^{n+1} X_i \xrightarrow{\prod_{i=1}^n f_i} \prod_{i=1}^n X_i \right),$$

$$\text{pr-shift} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & & \\ -f_1 & 1 & & & & \\ & -f_2 & 1 & & & 0 \\ 0 & & \ddots & \ddots & & \\ & & & -f_{n-1} & 1 & \\ & & & & -f_n & \end{pmatrix},$$

$$q = (f_n \dots f_1, \dots, f_n f_{n-1}, f_n, 1).$$

Splitting is determined by  $\text{pr}_{n+1} : \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} X_i \rightarrow X_{n+1}$ .

The diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & X_{n+1} & \xrightarrow{q} & \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} X_i & \xrightarrow{\text{pr-shift}} & \prod_{i=1}^n X_i & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow f_n & & \downarrow \triangleright & & \downarrow \triangleright & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & X_n & \xrightarrow{q} & \prod_{i=1}^n X_i & \xrightarrow{\text{pr-shift}} & \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} X_i & \longrightarrow & 0
 \end{array}$$

If  $\mathcal{S} = D(\mathcal{A})$ , where abelian category satisfies AB5\*), the filtered limit of rows would be an exact sequence in  $C(\mathcal{A})$

$$0 \rightarrow \lim_{i \in \mathbb{N}} X_i \longrightarrow \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} X_i \xrightarrow{1\text{-shift}} \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} X_i \rightarrow 0,$$

However, Ab and R-mod do not satisfy AB5\*).

For any chain map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  there are

$$\text{Cone}(-f : X \rightarrow Y) = \left( X[1] \oplus Y, \begin{pmatrix} d_{X[1]} & -\sigma^{-1} \cdot f \\ 0 & d_Y \end{pmatrix} \right),$$

$$\text{Cone}(Y \rightarrow \text{Cone}(-f : X \rightarrow Y))$$

$$= \left( Y[1] \oplus X[1] \oplus Y, \begin{pmatrix} d_{Y[1]} & 0 & \sigma^{-1} \\ 0 & d_{X[1]} & -\sigma^{-1} \cdot f \\ 0 & 0 & d_Y \end{pmatrix} \right),$$

$$Z = \text{Cone}(Y \rightarrow \text{Cone}(-f : X \rightarrow Y))[-1]$$

$$= \left( Y \oplus X \oplus Y[-1], \begin{pmatrix} d_Y & 0 & -\sigma^{-1} \\ 0 & d_X & f \cdot \sigma^{-1} \\ 0 & 0 & d_{Y[-1]} \end{pmatrix} \right),$$

$$d_{Y[-1]} = -\sigma \cdot d_Y \cdot \sigma^{-1}.$$

$$X \xrightleftharpoons{\begin{pmatrix} f & 1 & 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}} \left( Y \oplus X \oplus Y[-1], \begin{pmatrix} d_Y & 0 & -\sigma^{-1} \\ 0 & d_X & f \cdot \sigma^{-1} \\ 0 & 0 & d_{Y[-1]} \end{pmatrix} \right) \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}} Y.$$

Morphisms on the left are homotopy inverse to each other since

$$\begin{pmatrix} f & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = 1,$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} f & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = 1_Z + h d_Z + d_Z h,$$

$$\text{where } h = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \sigma & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : Z \rightarrow Z, \quad \text{deg } h = -1.$$

The map  $f$  decomposes into homotopy equivalence and a fibration (surjection in all degrees)

$$f = \left( X \xrightarrow[\sim]{(f \ 1 \ 0)} Z \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}} Y \right).$$

Iterating this procedure we can replace the sequence  $(f_i)$  of chain maps of complexes of abelian groups

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \longrightarrow & \xrightarrow{f_4} & X_4 & \xrightarrow{f_3} & X_3 & \xrightarrow{f_2} & X_2 & \xrightarrow{f_1} & X_1 \\ & = & h_4 \downarrow \wr & = & h_3 \downarrow \wr & = & h_2 \downarrow \wr & = & h_1 \parallel \\ \longrightarrow & \xrightarrow{g_4} & Z_4 & \xrightarrow{g_3} & Z_3 & \xrightarrow{g_2} & Z_2 & \xrightarrow{g_1} & Z_1 \end{array} \quad (1)$$

with a sequence  $(g_i)$  of fibrations such that the vertical maps  $(h_i)$  are homotopy equivalences.

By definition, in the sense of model categories

$$\mathbf{holim}_i(f_i) = \mathbf{holim}_i(g_i) = \lim_i(g_i).$$

Since the sequence  $(g_i)$  is Mittag-Leffler we have a short exact sequence of complexes

$$0 \rightarrow \lim_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (g_i) \longrightarrow \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} Z_i \xrightarrow{1\text{-shift}} \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} Z_i \rightarrow 0,$$

which implies that in the sense of triangulated categories  $K' = \mathbf{holim}_i (g_i)$  comes from a triangle in  $D(\text{Ab})$







$$K' = \lim_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (g_i) \rightarrow \prod_i Z_i \xrightarrow{1\text{-shift}} \prod_i Z_i \rightarrow K'[1]$$

isomorphic in  $D(\text{Ab})$  to

$$K = \mathbf{holim}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (f_i) \rightarrow \prod_i X_i \xrightarrow{1\text{-shift}} \prod_i X_i \rightarrow K[1].$$

Hence, in the sense of triangulated categories  $K = \mathbf{holim}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (f_i) \cong K' = \lim_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (g_i)$  in  $D(\text{Ab})$ . The same conclusion for any diagram (1) with quasi-isomorphisms  $h_i$  and fibrations  $g_i$ . Thus, the two approaches to  $\mathbf{holim}$  agree.



-  The Stacks project 12.31 Inverse systems
-  The Stacks project 10.86 Mittag-Leffler systems
-  The Stacks project 13.34 Derived limits
-  The Stacks project Lemma 15.85.1
-  Alberto Canonaco, Amnon Neeman, and Paolo Stellari, Uniqueness of enhancements for derived and geometric categories, 2021, arXiv:2101.04404. §3.3
-  Masaki Kashiwara and Pierre Schapira, Sheaves on manifolds, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, vol. 292, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, New York, 1990. Def 1.8.1, Def 1.8.2, Prop 1.8.3